UNION INTERNATIONALE DE PENTATHLON MODERNE - UIPM



Patron : His Majesty King Constantine

Honorary President : His Serene Highness Prince Albert II of Monaco

Official Address

UIPM Headquarters Stade Louis II – Entrance E 13, avenue des Castelans MC – 98000 Monaco

Tel: + 377 97 77 85 55 Fax: + 377 97 77 85 50 uipm@pentathlon.org

Mr. Logan Storie c/o US Modern Pentathlon Association

Monaco 11.4.2018

To the attention of Mr. Logan Storie

Subject: Decision of the UIPM DOPING REVIEW PANEL - 11th April 2018

Composition of the UIPM Doping Review Panel:

- 1. Prof. Dr. H. M. Ockenfels (Germany) Chair of the Panel
- 2. Prof E.H. Derose (Brazil)
- 3. Dr Tan Sri M. Jegathesan (Malaysia)
- 4. D. Delfini (Italy)

Name of athlete: Logan Storie Date of birth: 08 March 1989 National Federation: USA	Sample Number: 1588835 Sample Collection Date: 24 th February 2017 In-competition test: 2017 World Cup 1 – Los Angeles
Prohibited substances: 5a-androstane-3a,17b-diol (5aAdiol) (consistent with an <u>exogenous origin</u>) 5b-androst ane3a,17b-diol (5bAdiol) (consistent with an <u>exogenous origin</u>) Androsterone (consistent with an <u>exogenous origin</u>) Etiocholanone (consistent with an <u>exogenous origin</u>)	
2017 Prohibited List: S1.1b Endogen Specified substance: No	

Description of Facts:

- Mr. Logan Storie is an Athlete competing at International Level, who participated at the 2017 World Cup 1 in Los-Angeles (USA). On 24th February 2017 Mr. Logan Storie provided an A and B urine sample code number 1588835. At the time of the in-competition test, the Athlete declared the use of the following substances on the Doping Control Form: Aminoacids (1 packet used taken on 24th February), Protein (1 packet taken on 24th February) and Omega 3 (2 pills taken on 24th February).
- The analysis result, performed by the WADA accredited Laboratory of Olympic Analytical Laboratory UCLA (Los Angeles USA), revealed the presence of 5a-androstane-3a,17b-diol (5aAdiol), 5b-androst ane3a,17b-diol (5bAdiol), Androsterone and Etiocholanone <u>consistent with</u> <u>an exogenous origin</u> which are Prohibited Substances listed in S1.1b Endogenous AAS, of the WADA Prohibited List of 2017.
- UIPM Anti-Doping Department proceeded with the initial review of the results under Article 7.1.2.
 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Rules and found out that no applicable Therapeutic Use Exemption (TUE) was granted or in the process of being granted to the Athlete. Furthermore there was no apparent departure from the International Standard for Testing or Laboratory that could undetermined the validity of the AAF.
- On 30th March 2017 UIPM notified the Athlete, WADA, the National Anti-Doping Organization of the Athlete (USADA) and the National Federation of Modern Pentathlon of the Adverse Analytical Finding. The Athlete was informed of the potential consequences of the alleged Anti-Doping Rule Violation, about the conditions for reducing the period of ineligibility and he was offered the opportunity to request the B-Sample analysis and to provide justifications for the adverse analytical finding. The Athlete was also informed that he was provisionally suspended from competition (as of 30th March 2017) pending the resolution of the case.
- On 3rd April 2017 the Athlete acknowledged receipt of the UIPM notification.
- On 11th April 2017 the Athlete requested the B-Sample analysis, which was scheduled on 1st May 2017 at the WADA accredited Laboratory of Olympic Analytical Laboratory UCLA (Los Angeles USA).

- On 26th April 2017 the Athlete informed UIPM that he could not attend the B-Sample opening and analytical procedure.
- On 1st May 2017 the WADA accredited Laboratory of Olympic Analytical Laboratory UCLA (Los Angeles - USA) performed the B-Sample analysis. The B-Sample analysis confirmed the adverse analytical finding. The Athlete was informed of the B-Sample analysis report accordingly.
- On 23rd May 2017 the WADA accredited Laboratory of Olympic Analytical Laboratory UCLA (Los Angeles USA) transmitted the complete documentation packages of the A and B Sample. The Athlete was provided with the documentations accordingly.
- On 26th July 2017 UIPM Doping Review Panel transmitted a communication to the Athlete in order to arrange a suitable date for the Hearing. The Athlete was requested to submit his written statement and eventual sustaining evidences by 2nd August 2017.
- On 27th July 2017, the Athlete transmitted an email which included his declaration about the overall circumstances of the case: "I will start by telling you that I have never willingly or knowingly taken any illegal drug or performance-enhancing drug in my life, banned or not. As a life-long athlete, I have always tried to care for my body at all times. Additionally, I have always been a champion for clean sport and have spoken out against athletes who have used PED's. As a result, I am deeply troubled to find myself accused of being on the "other side" of the matter, so to speak." In addition, the Athlete stated: "Though I cannot understand the reason for such adverse findings yet, I am not contesting the validity of the adverse findings in my A and B samples. At issue for me personally and for a better understanding by the Panel is why such substance was in my sample. Again, it is extremely important to me, no matter the findings and decision by the Panel, to show that no intentional ingestion of any banned substance occurred. That is why I am having my supplements examined by a professional, independent lab". The athlete formally requested an extension of the deadline to provide his written statement and eventual sustaining evidences. This request was justified by the fact that the Athlete had demanded the analysis of the nutritional supplements to an independent laboratory. The Athlete reported the following: "I have been trying to figure out why any such substance would be in my body. To date, I have no answers, and continue to search for some. I have submitted all of my supplements (which do not include any banned substances on their labels) to independent labs for a very costly but necessary analysis of their makeup and contents. Having already submitted these supplements for testing, I have been informed that the lab, based in California, will require approximately another 4-5 weeks before they will be able to present their scientific findings to me.".

On 10th September 2017 the Athlete provided his written statement and sustaining evidences. The complete statement of the Athlete is enclosed hereunder:

"I apologize for pushing my response to the Review Panel to the final day of my response deadline. As you may recall, I am a Sargeant in the United States Army, and this past week I was called to serve my country in Texas as part of disaster relief efforts for hurricanes Harvey and Irma, and following the flooding in that state which has killed many citizens, placed thousands in peril, and displaced tens of thousands from their homes. I am currently in Texas continuing my work in the relief effort per my commanded duty from the U.S. Army, and will remain here until September 25th.

As you know, I sent all the supplements that I had taken in the time preceding my positive test to be tested by a top analytical lab (Labdoor) in California. Due to a delay in Labdoor's receipt my payment, the lab sent the final results and report to me the day before yesterday. Still, it seems that the stress of the delay, coupled with the rather large expense I paid for testing, were well worth it. Of the three supplements tested by Labdoor on my behalf, one of my supplements, Xtend Go (a workout recovery supplement powder) came back positive for DHEA. I have attached to this email for your review the full report/Documentation Package, issued by Lab Director, Paul Scott, for that supplement.

As you might imagine, the past couple months for me have been extremely difficult as I have gone through emotions of anger, bewilderment, frustration, panic, and sadness in search of a reason why a positive result was found in my test. Adding to the obvious effects such a result might have on my athletic career is the drastic effect this could have on what is even more important to me in my life my pursuit of a life-long career as an officer in the United States Army. My grandfather served in the Army, my father served in the Army, and now it is my turn to continue my family's tradition. Those who know me know that I have made many sacrifices in my life and athletic career to work toward becoming an officer in the Army, and, to be quite honest, the majority of concern I have had with regard to this entire fiasco is related to the effect this could have on my professional career in the Army, even more than the effect this could have on my sporting career.

As I have stated from the very beginning of the entire process, I have never knowingly taken any drug or prohibited performance enhancer. Further, beyond rare and occasional recreational ingestion of alcohol, I have never consumed or ingested any recreational or illegal drugs (prohibited in athletics or not) - even beyond their prohibition by the Army, such activity is just not me. I eat healthy foods, take care of my body, and practice an overall clean lifestyle to not only to keep my body healthy, but also to serve as a good example to my wife who is an elite/professional triathlete who also works hard to take extra steps to practice a clean lifestyle. In the process of trying to take care of my body, eat healthy, and practice healthy nutrition, I regularly take vitamins and a small collection of sports supplements to accompany my training. While the majority of multi-vitamins and supplements I take are lab-tested and guaranteed contaminant-free, I have only now paid

attention to the fact that 3 of the supplements I take are not. One such supplement is the one in the attached report.

While I am aware I am responsible for every single thing I put in my body, I would like to point out to the Review Panel a few important details pertaining to the contaminated supplement and surrounding situation:

1) Private testing of a single supplement by an individual is approximately \$3,000.00 per supplement;

2) I have known several athletes who have taken this supplement and who have not suffered from any positive tests while taking this supplement; and

3) The label for the relevant supplement, which I examined prior to my purchase and consumption of it (as I do with all foods and supplements I consume), did not state any prohibited substances in its ingredients.

I did take the supplement which has now been found to have been contaminated, but I honestly feel I took ALL the reasonable steps I could possibly have taken to assure I would not be consuming anything prohibited. I am aware that Article 2.1 of the UIPM Anti-Doping rules express that it is an athlete's "personal duty to ensure that no prohibited substances enters his or her body." I would like the Review Panel to understand that I have undertaken each and every reasonable task to ensure that no such substances enter my body. Short of sending in every single supplement jar or bottle I obtained for a \$3,000.00 test prior to consumption, I am not sure what else I could have done to assure its purity.

Despite all of the preventive actions I took prior to consuming my supplements, it has not escaped my fears that the Review Panel may not care at all that I have taken such careful steps to avoid the terrible, unlucky, and unfortunate situation in which I now find myself. While you might understand my frustration with that scenario, I wish to remind the Review Panel that I have voluntarily abstained from competing during a "provisional suspension" since March 30, 2017. During what has now totaled almost 6 months, I have cooperated with this provisional suspension and have missed 5 extremely important competitions, including my country's National Championships, World Cup 3, World Cup 4, and the World Championships. Let me honestly say that, though I firmly believe I am not guilty of any wrong-doing, I have certainly already paid a heavy athletic price as a result of all of this. Despite the fact that I have agonizingly watched each event I would like to participate in pass by, my greatest pain is anticipation of the possibility that I will be removed from the U.S. Army for life following a negative decision by the Review Panel.

I wish to have the opportunity to discuss all relevant facts and circumstances in a hearing with the Review Panel. Though my disaster relief efforts with the Army have temporarily stalled my athletic training, I will continue to cooperate with the provisional suspension I am currently serving. While I am working from 0500-2200 7 days a week until September 25th, I know that the Review Panel's time zone is approximately 12 hours ahead of mine. Accordingly, I will make myself available for any

Union Internationale de Pentathlon Moderne

hearing time during your daytime (and during the middle of the night for me) so that I may have an opportunity to speak.

Finally, I hope that my character in athletics, my service in the U.S. Army, and my actions in daily life speak to the honesty, personal integrity, accountability, and overall good character I attempt to demonstrate to the best of my ability in all that I do, not only as an athlete, but as a teammate, husband, Sargeant in the U.S. Army, and as a person. My anger and frustration with this positive test has slowly evolved to a somber combination of anger and sadness from the realization that, even beyond sport, this terrible, unfortunate, and unintentional occurrence, for which I have already paid a great athletic price through time already served in my provisional suspension, may ruin my dreams of continuing my family's service to our country in the U.S. Army. In determining its final ruling, I ask that the Review Panel consider

1) My demonstrated character;

2) My execution of ALL reasonable efforts to avoid this occurrence; and

3) The substantial time period (nearly 6 months) during which I have been unable to compete in several major competitions as we have continued the process of determining the facts and circumstances surrounding the adverse finding in my sample.

I will await further correspondence from Dr. Ockenfels and the Review Panel regarding when you will be available for a Skype or teleconference hearing. Should you require any further information from me, please let me know and I will respond as promptly as is possible.

Sincerely,

Logan Storie"

- On 13th September UIPM informed the athlete that the Doping Review Panel scheduled the hearing on 30th September 2017.
- On 15th September 2017 the Athlete confirmed his availability for the hearing as scheduled.

- On 30th September 2017 the hearing took place. The complete statement of the Athlete at the hearing is enclosed hereunder:

"Ladies and Gentlemen of the Review Panel:

Please excuse my reading of this statement. I am quite anxious about today's video conference, and I felt that it might be best to compose my thoughts in written form rather than try and remember everything to review with you. Please bear with me as I read this, and I will answer any questions you may have after I am done.

Let me begin by saying I am deeply troubled by all of the relevant events that have led up to this moment. Let me also say that, despite being troubled by all of this, I accept full and complete

accountability for the current situation. While I have never knowingly taken any prohibited substances, my actions and my consumption of supplements are the sole reason we are having this meeting today, and I accept full responsibility for that. I am not here to complain or make excuses, but rather to provide information and explain the circumstances which led to this series of unfortunate events.

By now I am sure that each of you has had the opportunity to review the report from the Labdoor testing laboratory regarding one of my supplements which was found to have DHEA contamination. In the time since I received the results of these tests, I have learned that while DHEA has never been scientifically proven to improve athletic performance, several supplements have been found to have been contaminated by it as a result of cross-contamination in factories which create multiple products, or even its inclusion in supplements as an unlisted ingredient. I have also recently learned that unlike almost all other products consumed here in the U.S., the Food & Drug Administration, the "FDA", does not regulate the supplement industry and therefore does not enforce accurate labeling or quality control for supplements. Indeed, this information is something I wish I had learned before all of this.

Still, even without this knowledge, I have always taken several steps to try and ensure that something like this would never occur. First off, as I do with the food I buy at the grocery store, I always read the ingredient labels for supplements I take to make sure no prohibited or unhealthy substances are listed. Secondly, I have never taken a supplement that a teammate or athletic peer has not taken before me because, first, I don't want to buy something that doesn't have positive reviews from someone I trust, and secondly, it gives me peace-of-mind to know that that someone who is drug-tested has not had any issues with that specific product. In the case of this particular supplement, I researched its ingredients online, checked its label, and asked athletes I knew who had taken it whether they had any issues. From the information I gathered and the responses I received, I had no reason to suspect that this supplement might be contaminated. Accordingly, I purchased it and used it from time to time in both my training and competition believing that there would not be any problems.

Again, at this time, I would like to repeat that I accept responsibility for the substance being in my body, and that I am not making excuses. I only wish to point out the unintentional circumstances which led to the substance being in my body, and to point out the steps, however unsuccessful they were, I took to try and prevent having anything prohibited enter my body.

While it probably obvious to all of us the negative effects that a drug suspension can have on an athlete's life, I can assure you that those effects are multiplied exponentially for an individual with my circumstances. As I have discussed in my prior communications with the Review Board, I am a Sergeant in the United States Army following a long family tradition. Additionally, I am set to become an officer in the U.S. Army on February 25, 2018 as a promotion resulting from my long-standing commitment, dedication, and performance as a leader as an Army soldier in both my

Union Internationale de Pentathlon Moderne

community and throughout my country. After private discussions with my superior officers in the Army, it has been explained to me that a suspension of this magnitude may likely result in my forfeiture of my officer status and an obstacle which will prevent me from ever becoming an officer in the U.S. Army. To say that this is devastating to me is an understatement. From the time I was a small child, I can recall the pride my father and grandfather have shown in being officers in the Army and their hopes, nearly fulfilled, that their son and grandson would follow in their footsteps. Further, I have dedicated my somewhat young adult life to service in the Army and have regularly served and volunteered to help and participate whenever my country has needed me, most recently in the Hurricane Irma and Hurricane Harvey disasters where many lost their lives, and hundreds of thousands were displaced from their homes. In short, being excommunicated from the Army may far exceed any temporary athletic suspension as far as its negative effects on my life.

From an athlete perspective, in cooperation with the provisional suspension I have now been serving for 6 months, I have indeed suffered. While under this suspension, I have been unable to compete in several events, including extremely important ones. I have missed World Cup 3, World Cup 4, the World Championships, and my National Championships. Additionally, my lengthy absence from the sport of Pentathlon has taken a serious toll on my world and national ranking, my physical fitness, and my National Team status which I have now lost. I have lost funding from my NGB and have lost individual sponsorships that were dependent on my participation at the events I missed.

The burden of enduring this process has been especially difficult as I have kept it a secret from my wife, who is a professional triathlete at the Olympic Training Center, in order to shield her from the stresses of all that has occurred as she continues to train and compete internationally. Today is our 1 year, first wedding anniversary, and I certainly will not be informing her of these circumstances this weekend... that just wouldn't be good for anybody! (smile and look up)

With all of the information I have stated today and in my previous email correspondence, I would like to make a proposal to the Review Panel for your consideration. I understand the relevant rules, particularly Article 2.1 of the UIPM Anti-Doping rules which explains that it is my personal duty to ensure no prohibited substances enter my body, and I take responsibility for failing to meet that duty. I also understand and support rules like this which need to be enforced in order to protect our sport from unfair competition, and that in this particular rule, guilt or intent is irrelevant.

Because I have failed to fulfill the duty outlined in Article 2.1, I propose that I be punished with a 6month suspension from competition with time served. As mentioned previously, the 6 months I have already served have essentially destroyed the calendar year of 2017, and, because I have not competed, I will really be unable to compete in any competitions of significance again until 2018. The 6 months I have already served as a suspension have been difficult and damaging, but I am frankly far more concerned about what an announced formal future suspension will do to my career outside of athletics. I have not yet considered what path I will take in life should I be forced to leave the Army, and I am trying to remain positive in hope that I will never have to confront such a nightmare.

In conclusion, while I am frustrated that, despite taking steps to prevent any positive test from ever happening, I still failed to adequately prevent a prohibited substance from a contaminated supplement from entering my body. For that I do accept complete responsibility.

Finally, I have suffered financially, physically, and emotionally, all to a great degree, and I ask you, the Review Panel, to consider this with my request to end this suffering at this time with my punishment being the 6 months of time I have served. Thank you for hearing my statement, and I am open to your questions now."

- From 30th September till January 2018, the UIPM had to review the composition of its Doping Review Panel. The review was necessary due to a conflict of interest issue linked to one of the Member of the Panel.
- On 10th January 2018 the modified UIPM Doping Review Panel for the case was appointed in accordance with Art. 8 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Rules.

Reasoning:

- Based on art. 2.1.1 of UIPM Anti- Doping Rules: "It is each Athlete's personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body. Athletes are responsible for any Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found to be present in their Samples. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing use on the Athlete's part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping violation under art. 2.1" (Strict liability principle).
- Based on art. 2.1.2 UIPM Anti- Doping Rules : "Sufficient proof of an anti-doping rule violation is established by either of the following: presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in the Athlete's A Sample where the Athlete waives analysis of the B Sample and the B Sample is not analyzed; or where the Athlete's B Sample is analyzed and the analysis of the Athlete's B Sample confirms the presence of the Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found in the Athlete's A Sample.
- Based on Art. 2.1.3 UIPM Anti- Doping Rules: "Excepting those substances for which a quantitative threshold is specifically identified in the Prohibited List, the presence of any quantity of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an Athlete's Sample shall constitute an antidoping rule violation".

- The UIPM Doping Review Panel is satisfied that the laboratory report related to the A and B Samples and the sample collection documentation confirmed that the analytical tests were performed in a proper manner and that the findings of the WADA Accredited Laboratory are accurate. The UIPM Doping Review Panel is satisfied that the A and B Samples test results show the presence of the Prohibited Substances **5a-androstane-3a,17b-diol (5aAdiol), 5b-androst ane3a,17b-diol (5bAdiol), Androsterone and Etiocholanone consistent with an exogenous origin** in violation of Article 2.1 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Rules.
- The Athlete did not contest the accuracy of the testing methods or the test results and the positive findings.
- Based on Article 10.2.1 of the 2017 UIPM Anti-Doping Rules the period of ineligibility imposed for the violation of Article 2.1 shall be four (4) years for a first violation, unless the Athlete can establish that the violation was not intentional. The UIPM Doping Review Panel evaluated the circumstances of the case and the evidences provided by the Athlete. UIPM Doping Review Panel determines that the athlete established that the violation is not intentional. In the opinion of the Panel, the Athlete did not knowingly ingest the prohibited substance. The Athlete provided sufficient evidence to demonstrate how the substances entered in his body (the ingestion of the nutritional supplement called: "Xtend Go"). The UIPM Doping Review Panel conducted research on the product. Based on this examination it has been possible to determine that the prohibited substances found in the Athlete's A and B samples are not listed in the ingredients list of Xtend Go. Furthermore, the nutritional supplement (Xtend Go) and the brand producing it (Sciviaton) are not mentioned in the list of high risk supplements available in the website of the National Anti-Doping Organization of the Athlete (USADA). Therefore, the UIPM Doping Review Panel concludes that the Athlete did not take the prohibited substance intentionally.
- Based on Article 10.5.1.2 Contaminated Products: "In cases where the Athlete or other Person can establish No Significant Fault or Negligence and that the detected Prohibited Substance came from a Contaminated Product, then the period of Ineligibility shall be, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of Ineligibility, and at a maximum, two years Ineligibility, depending on the Athlete's or other Person's degree of Fault
- In order for Article 10.5.1.2 of the 2017 UIPM Anti-Doping Rules to apply, the athlete must have established how the prohibited substance entered in his body system and that the he bears no significant fault or negligence. The degree of fault shall be the criterion considered in assessing the

applicable sanction. The UIPM Doping Review Panel determined that the Athlete proved how the prohibited substances entered in his body. The Athlete requested the analysis of the product Xtend Go and the laboratory result confirmed the presence of DHEA on the above-mentioned supplement. Therefore, the UIPM Doping Review Panel is satisfied that the Athlete provided concrete evidence to demonstrate the source of the adverse analytical finding. UIPM Doping Review Panel has evaluated the overall circumstances of the case to determine whether the Athlete determined that he bears no significant fault or negligence. The UIPM Doping Review Panel considered the athlete's statement: "I bought the supplements at a grocery store". Furthermore "I researched ingredients online, checked its label, and asked athletes I knew who had taken it whether they had any issues. From the information I gathered and the responses I received, I had no reason to suspect that this supplement might be contaminated." The UIPM Doping Review Panel is satisfied that the athlete bears no significant fault or negligence. The athlete verified the ingredients list in order to find out whether or not there was a forbidden substance listed and also enquired other athletes about the use of the product. The athlete bought it in a grocery shop therefore from a "reliable" source. There were therefore not clear indication that the ingestion of the product could have caused an adverse analytical finding. However, the Panel believes that the fault of the athlete is not minimal, since the Athlete is fully aware of the risks linked to the use of a nutritional supplement. Furthermore, the Athlete acknowledged that for the product Xtend Go, he failed to conduct the comprehensive examination which is usually done by him. More specifically the Athlete declared the following: "While the majority of multi-vitamins and supplements I take are lab-tested and guaranteed contaminant-free, I have only now paid attention to the fact that 3 of the supplements I take are not. One such supplement is the one in the attached report." Consequently, the UIPM Doping Review Panel believes that the appropriate sanction for the athlete's violation is 15 months of ineligibility period.

- The UIPM Doping Review Panel would like to specify that Article 10.4 of 2018 UIPM Anti-Doping Rules (elimination of the period of ineligibility where there is no fault or negligence) cannot apply since the positive test resulted from a mislabeled or contaminated vitamin or nutritional supplement. Athletes are responsible for what they ingest (Article 2.1.1) and have been warned against the possibility of supplement contamination.
- Pursuant to UIPM Anti–Doping Rules, Article 9, an anti-doping rule violation occurring during or in connection with an In-Competition test automatically leads to Disqualification of all of the Athlete's results obtained in that Competition with all consequences, including forfeiture of all medals, points and prizes.

- UIPM Doping Review Panel notes that there have been delays in the results management process not attributable to the Athlete consequently is of the opinion that the period of ineligibility should commence as early as the sample collection date, in application art. 10.9.1 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Rules.
- UIPM Doping Review Panel notes that, there is no prior Anti-Doping rule violation recorded against the Athlete.

Decision:

In the matter of the adverse analytical finding related to sample 1588835, which was collected from Mr Logan Storie (referred hereafter as "The Athlete") on 24^{th} February 2017 at the competition World Cup 1 – Los Angeles, the UIPM Doping Review Panel determines the following:

1) Anti-Doping Rule Violation:

The Athlete has committed an Anti-Doping rule violation of Article 2.1 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Rules.

2) Ineligibility Period:

The athlete period of ineligibility is <u>15 months</u>. In order to determine the period of ineligibility the article 10.5.1.2 (Contaminated Products) of the 2017 UIPM Anti-Doping Rules has been applied.

3) Start/End of ineligibility period:

Considering that there has been delays not attributable to the athlete in accordance with Article 10.11.1 of the 2017 UIPM Anti-Doping Rules the Panel determines that the period of ineligibility will start as early as the sample collection date (**24**th **February 2017**). Consequently, the ineligibility period of the Athlete ends on **24**th **May 2018**.

4) Disqualification of Results:

In accordance with Articles 9, 10.1 and 11 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Rules all the results achieved by the athlete at the competition are disqualified, including forfeiture of medals, points and prizes. In accordance with article 10.8 all the competitive results of the athlete from the date of the sample collected (24th February 2017) are disqualified with all of the resulting consequences, including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

5) Right of Appeal

In accordance with Article 8.2.2 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Rules the decision may be appealed to the UIPM Court of Arbitration and thereafter to CAS as provided in Article 13 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Rules and Article 17.3 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Procedures.

As per Art. 13 of UIPM Anti-Doping Rules this decision may be appealed to the Court of Arbitration for Sport in accordance with the provisions applicable before such court and within the time established by the UIPM Anti–Doping rules. This decision will be communicated to the parties with right of appeal in accordance with Article 13.2.3 of the UIPM Anti-Doping Rules.

Signed by Professor Hans Michael Ockenfels – Chair on behalf of the Panel (Hans Michael Ockenfels, Tan Sri M. Jegathesan, Eduardo Henrique De Rose, Davide Delfini)

anh

11th April 2018